# IRRITANT PHORBOL DERIVATIVES FROM FOUR JATROPHA SPECIES

## W ADOLF, H J OPFERKUCH and E HECKER

Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum, Institut für Biochemie, Im Neuenheimer Feld 280, 6900 Heidelberg, West Germany

(Revised received 16 June 1983)

Key Word Index—Jatropha curcas, J gossyptfolia, J podagrica, J multifida, Euphorbiaceae, diterpene esters, irritants, phorbol derivatives

Abstract—Four Jatropha species used in folk medicine were screened for irritant constituents. By chromatographic and countercurrent distribution procedures, highly irritant factors were isolated from each species. They represent new polyunsaturated esters of the tigliane-type diterpenoids 16-hydroxyphorbol (J. podagrica, J. multifida) and 12-deoxy-16-hydroxyphorbol (J. curcas, J. gossypifolia)

## INTRODUCTION

Species of the genus Jatropha are known to be very toxic and the irritant and purgative activities of some of their seed oils are reminiscent of those shown by the toxic and irritant diterpene esters contained in the seed oils of many other Euphorbiaceae species, e.g. Croton tiglium [1] and Euphorbia lathyris [2] However, the chemical nature of the toxic principles of Jatropha species are unknown

Various plant parts of J podagrica are widely used in traditional folk medicine in West Africa [3, 4] Seeds and seed oil of J multifida and J curcas (physic nut, purge nut) are frequently used as purgatives in tropical countries [5-7] However, they may cause strong irritation and poisoning ('hell oil', 'oleum infernale', see refs [5-7]) In addition, the high toxicity of J curcas seeds to mice and goats has been demonstrated [8, 9] J gossyptfolia is often used as a tea plant in Central America and its infusion is one of the most frequently used folk remedies of Curação [6] Its use may be related to the high incidence rates of oesophageal cancer on Curação [10] Extracts of the plant have also been used to treat cancerous growth [11] and

the tumour inhibitory macrocyclic diterpene jatrophone was isolated from the roots [12] Further diterpendic constituents, which may be biogenetically related [13], were inactive as tumour inhibitors [14]

We now report the isolation and chemical characterization of irritant diterpene esters from the seed oils of the four *Jatropha* species

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The seed oil of each of the Jatropha species was obtained by ether extraction of the homogenized seeds. The fractionation procedure for the different seed oils was carried out as described for the seed oil of Euphorbia lathyris [2]. Each fraction obtained was monitored by the assay for irritant activity on the mouse ear [1]. As may be seen from Table 1, the seed oils were obtained in 20-40% yield and exhibited weaker irritant activity than the seed oil of E lathyris. A 10-30-fold enrichment of the biological activity was achieved by preparation of the hydrophilic fractions, representing between 3 and 6% of the oils

Table 1 Yield and irritant activity\* of the seed oils obtained from four Jatropha species and of corresponding subfractions obtained during separation procedures according to ref [2]

Species	Seed oil		Hydrophilic fraction†		Neutral fraction†	
	Yield (%)	ID <sup>24</sup> <sub>50</sub> (μg/ear)	Yield (%)	ID <sub>50</sub> <sup>24</sup> (μg/ear)	Yield (%)	ID <sub>50</sub> <sup>24</sup> (μg/ear)
J podagrica	40	> 100	3.5	3 5	3 2	31
J multıfida	34	70	32	25	20	44
J curcas	20	25	61	18	38	15
J gossypıfolia	22	30	48	1 4	25	09
E lathyris	53	13	103	0 75	66	14

Determination of the irritant dose 50 (ID 50) according to ref [1] Standard Seed oil and corresponding fractions from Euphorbia lathyris [2]

\*Yields and  $10_{50}^{24}$  values of seed oils and fractions from *J curcas* and *J gossyptfolia* are average values of three different fractionations, the other *Jatropha* species were fractionated only once †Yields refer to seed oil = 100%

130 W Adolf et al

The corresponding hydrophobic fractions did not show any irritant activity and were discarded Acidic constituents were removed from the hydrophilic fractions by washing with sodium carbonate solution. The neutral fractions thus obtained were further fractionated, in the case of *J. podagrica* and *J. multifida*, by column chromatography and in the case of *J. curcas* and *J. gossypifolia* by multi-stage Craig distribution

The irritant fractions obtained from both J podagrica and J multifida were shown to contain TLC homogeneous material with identical  $R_f$  values. Whereas the material from J podagrica was characterized as the Jatropha factor P1, the material from J multifida was separated by multiple development on TLC into two Jatropha factors, M<sub>1</sub> and M<sub>2</sub> (see Table 2), both exhibiting spectral data similar to the mixture (The UV data were similar for all Jatropha factors or materials, typical extinction values are presented for *Jatropha* factor  $M_1$ ) The NMR data of factor  $P_1$  and of factors  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ were very similar They suggested the presence of polyunsaturated acid esters (6-7 double-bond equivalents) of a common phorbol derivative Indeed, the same parent alcohol moiety (identical  $R_f$  value) was obtained from the three Jatropha factors by transesterification with 01 M sodium methanolate After acetylation of the parent alcohol, a tetraacetate was obtained exhibiting spectral data identical with those of an authentic sample of 16hydroxyphorbol-12,13,16,20-tetraacetate (2, see Fig 1) 16-Hydroxyphorbol (1) is the tigliane-type parent alcohol of irritant and tumour-promoting factors from Croton flavens [15] and also of toxic constituents of Aleurites fordu [16] The exact chemical structures of the methyl esters obtained by transesterification of the mixture of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  were not determined

After multi-stage Craig distribution under identical conditions of the neutral fractions of J curcas and J gossypifolia, in both cases nearly all of the irritant activity was found in corresponding fractions. According to TLC, they contained relatively uniform material They were finally purified by filtration through a small silica gel column, from both species irritant material was isolated exhibiting identical  $R_f$  values, which were, however, different from those of factors  $P_1$ , and  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ Multiple development of the apparently uniform material from both Jatropha species on TLC separated them into two factors,  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  (J curcas), and  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  (J gossypifolia), respectively (see Table 2) Attempts to separate the extremely unstable factors on a preparative scale were unsuccessful The NMR, UV and mass spectra of the pairs of Jatropha factors  $C_1/C_2$  and  $G_1/G_2$  were very similar, indicating the presence of esters of the same phorbol derivative with polyunsaturated acid moieties

The pairs of irritant factors isolated from J curcas and J gossypifolia did not react under the transesterification conditions described for the factors from J podagrica and J multifida. When factors from J curcas and J gossypifolia were reduced with lithium aluminium hydride in diethyl ether followed by acetylation with acetic anhydride/pyridine, a product (4) (see Fig. 1) was obtained exhibiting the same  $R_f$  value and spectral data as authentic 3-deoxo-12-deoxy-3 $\xi$ ,16-dihydroxyphorbol-3,13,16,20-tetraacetate. It is known that unsaturated 13-

Table 2 Yield, irritant activity and diterpene parents of various Jatropha factors

Jatropha species	Jatropha factors or mixtures	Yield (%)	ID <sup>24</sup> (μg/ear)	Parent alcohol
podagrica	P <sub>1</sub>	0 013	0 07	16-Hydroxyphorbol
multifida	$(\mathbf{M_1} + \mathbf{M_2})$	0 011	0 05	16-Hydroxyphorbol
curcas	$(C_1 + C_2)$	0 013	0 02	12-Deoxy-16-hydroxyphorbol
gossypıfolıa	$(G_1+G_2)$	0 01	0 02	12-Deoxy-16-hydroxyphorbol

Standard 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA), ID 50 002 µg/ear

Fig 1 Structure of 16-hydroxyphorbol (1) and its 12,13,16,20-tetraacetate (2), and of 3-deoxo-12-deoxy-3ζ,16-dihydroxyphorbol (3) and its 3,13,16,20-tetraacetate (4)

esters of 12-deoxy-16-hydroxyphorbol do not afford the parent alcohol under usual transesterification conditions [17] Thus it was concluded that the irritant principles of J curcas and J gossyptfolia represent 12-deoxy-16-hydroxyphorbol-13-acylates with highly unsaturated acid moieties. The exact chemical structure of the acid moieties was not elucidated in detail for J curcas, that of J gossyptfolia is under way

It is noteworthy that the ID<sub>50</sub> values of *Jatropha* factor P<sub>1</sub> and of all the mixtures of *Jatropha* factors isolated are comparable with that of 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA, Table 2)

## **EXPERIMENTAL**

Plant material Seeds of J curcas and J gossyptfolia were purchased from F Steinmetz Co, Botanical Drugs, Amsterdam Seeds of J podagrica were a gift from Prof W Rauh, Dept of Botany, University of Heidelberg Seeds of J multifida were kindly provided by Dr J F Morton, Morton Collectanea, University of Miami, Florida

General methods TLC precoated silica gel plates PF $_{254}$ , CC Merck silica gel (0 05–0 2 mm) deactivated with 13 % H $_2$ O Spots on TLC were detected under UV light (254 nm) and/or by spraying with vanillin/H $_2$ SO $_4$  For methods of countercurrent distribution, see ref [1]  $^1$ H NMR 90 MHz, CDCl $_3$  with TMS as int standard

Assays for irritant activity Irritant doses 50 (ID  $^{26}_{50}$ ) were determined on the mouse ear 24 hr after application according to the standard procedure [1] The standard deviations,  $\sigma$ , were all in the range 1 16–1 32, significance level  $\alpha=0.05$ 

Preparation of seed oils The seeds (J curcas, 5 kg, J gossyptolia, 2 kg, J multifida, 2 kg, J podagrica, 500 g) were homogenized with an electric mill and extracted exhaustively with peroxide-free Et<sub>2</sub>O Yields of seed oils and iD<sub>50</sub> values are presented in Table 1

Fractionation procedures (for details see ref [2]) The seed oils were extracted several times with MeOH to yield inactive oily hydrophobic fractions ( $ID_{50} > 100~\mu g$ /ear) and active oily hydrophilic fractions ( $ID_{50}$  and yields, see Table 1) From the latter, by extraction with 1 M Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> the neutral fractions were obtained (Table 1)

Factors from J multifida and J podagrica On CC with Et<sub>2</sub>O-petrol-Me<sub>2</sub>CO (1 1 1), TLC-uniform material was obtained from the neutral fractions of the seed oils of J podagrica and J multifida,  $R_f$  0 1 (EtOAc-petrol, 4 1) (for yields and  $\text{ID}_{50}^{40}$  see Table 2) Spectral properties of material from J multifida MS m/z 728, 726 [M]<sup>+</sup>, UV  $\lambda_{\text{me}}^{\text{MeOH}}$  nm 222, 244, 254, 264 5, 275, 286, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (90 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS)  $\delta$ 7 6 (1H, m, H-1), 5 3–6 4 (15–16 olefinic H, 2 m (br) centred at 6 15 and 5 6, H-12 superimposed), 4 02 (2H, s (br), H<sub>2</sub>-20), 3 84 (2H, s (br), H<sub>2</sub>-16), 3 0–3 4 (5H, m), 2 5 (2H, s (br), H<sub>2</sub>-5), 1 78 (3H, m, H<sub>3</sub>-19), 5 23 (1H, s, OH-9, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O)

By multiple TLC the presence of two factors in J multipla was demonstrated. The separation of irritant material (40 mg) into the factors  $M_1$  (4 mg) and  $M_2$  (2 mg) was achieved after developing 4 precoated plates (20 × 20 cm, 0.5 mm thickness) 7 times each in EtOAc-petrol (3.1) The mass spectra and NMR spectra were identical with those described for the mixture of Jatropha factors  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  UV  $\lambda_{max}$  nm ( $\epsilon$ ) factor  $M_1$  (m/z 728) 216 (24 400), 244 sh (20 700), 254 sh (27 500), 264 (37 700), 274 (44 200), 285 (32 000), 324 (420), factor  $M_2$  216, 244 sh, 254 sh, 264, 274, 285, 324

The mixture of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  was treated with 01 M NaOMe-MeOH for 1 hr After adding buffer, pH 68, and extracting with n-BuOH, the resultant compound (1,  $R_1$  0 08 in

CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH, 10 1) was acetylated (Ac<sub>2</sub>O-pyridine) to yield 2 which was purified by TLC (Et<sub>2</sub>O-petrol, 4 1,  $R_f$  0 14) MS m/z 548 [M]<sup>+</sup>, UV  $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm MeOH}$  nm (e) 194 (14600) and 254 nm (3000), <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7 58 (1H, s (br), H-1), 5 73 (1H, d (br), J = 6 Hz, H-7), 5 40 (1H, d, J = 10 Hz, H-12), 4 48 (2H, s (br), H<sub>2</sub>-20), 4 27 (2H, AB,  $J_{\rm AB}$  = 12 Hz, H<sub>2</sub>-16), 3 1-3 3 (2H, m, H-8, H-10 superimposed), 2 45 (2H, s (br), H<sub>2</sub>-5), 1 78 (3H, m, H<sub>3</sub>-19), 0 93 (3H, d, J = 7 Hz, H<sub>3</sub>-18), 5 4 (s, OH-9, superimposed with H<sub>2</sub>-12), 3 92 (s, OH-4), 2 08-2 15 (12H, 4 acetates) All spectral data were identical with those of 16-hydroxyphorbol-12,13,16,20-tetraacetate (2) [15]

The factor P<sub>1</sub> isolated from *J podagrica* proved to be TLC-uniform after multiple development in various systems MS m/z 726 [M]<sup>+</sup>, UV  $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm MeOH}$  nm 264, 275, 285, <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$ 7 56 (1H, s (br), H-1), 5 2–6 4 (ca 16 olefinic H), 4 0 (2H, s, H<sub>2</sub>-20), 3 8 (2H, s (br), H<sub>2</sub>-16), 2 5 (2H, s (br), H<sub>2</sub>-5), 1 78 (3H, m, H<sub>3</sub>-19)

Jatropha factor  $P_1$  (2 mg) was treated with 01 M NaOMe-MeOH By TLC, the reaction product 1 was detected 1 hr later with  $R_f$  008 in  $CH_2Cl_2$ -MeOH (10 1)

Factors from J curcas and J gossypifolia The neutral fractions from J curcas and J gossypifolia were each subjected to a Craig distribution in the system petrol-MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (15 10 0 5, z=500, n=2160, V=13 ml/10 ml) Irritant activity was found for both species in fractions r=35-80, exhibiting in TLC one major product with  $R_f$  0.21 (EtOAc-petrol, 2.1) and minor amounts of impurities on the base line and with higher  $R_f$  values The irritant material from both species was further purified by CC with the system above For yields and iD<sub>50</sub> values of factors isolated see Table 2

The irritant material isolated from the two *Jatropha* species could be separated analytically into two factors (factor pairs  $C_1/C_2$ ,  $G_1/G_2$ , see Table 2) when developed 3-4 times in EtOAc-petrol (2 1) Attempts to obtain a separation on a preparative scale failed

Spectral properties of the isolated material  $C_1/C_2$  from J curcas MS m/z 710 [M] $^+$ , UV  $\lambda_{max}^{MeOH}$  nm 195 5, 231, 274 (sh), 280, 287 (sh), 300 (sh), 316 5,  $^1$ H NMR  $\delta$ 7 58 (1H, m, H-1), 4 5-6 5 (ca 14 olefinic H, H-7 superimposed), 4 0 (2H, s, H $_2$ -20), 3 96 (2H, AB, 16-H $_2$ ), 2 8-3 3 (6H, including H-8 and H-10), 2 48 (2H, s (br), H $_2$ -5), 1 78 (3H, m, 19-H $_3$ ), 5 27 (s, OH-9), 2 4 (s, OH-4)

Spectral data of the isolated material  $G_1/G_2$  from J gossyptolia were identical (MS, NMR) with those of  $C_1/C_2$  UV data showed identical  $\lambda$  values and similar  $\varepsilon$  values

Factors  $C_1/C_2$  and  $G_1/G_2$  did not react with 01 M NaOMe–MeOH, but when reduced with  $L_1AlH_4$ – $Et_2O$  (4 hr refluxing) a product (3) was obtained ( $R_f$  01 in  $CH_2Cl_2$ –MeOH, 101) After acetylation with  $Ac_2O$ –pyridine and chromatography with  $Et_2O$ –petrol (11), compound 4 ( $R_f$  018) was isolated from both species exhibiting identical spectral data MS m/z 534 [M]+, IR  $v_{\rm max}^{\rm KBr}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 3430, 1735, 1650, UV  $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm MeOH}$  ( $\varepsilon$ ) nm 1935 (20000), 316 (100), <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$ 588 (1H, m, H-1), 572 (1H, d (br), H-7), 552 (1H, m, H-3), 45 (2H, s, H<sub>2</sub>-20), 407 (2H, AB, 16-H<sub>2</sub>), 31 (1H, m, H-8), 258 (2H, AB, H<sub>2</sub>-5), 162 (3H, m, H, 19), 495 (1H, s, OH-9), 205–220 (12H, 4 acetates) Spectral data identical with those of 3-deoxo-12-deoxy-3 $\xi$ ,16-dihydroxy-phorbol-3,13,16,20-tetraacetate (Gschwendt, M and Hecker, E, unpublished results)

## REFERENCES

- 1 Hecker, E and Schmidt, R (1974) Progr Chem Org Nat Prod 31, 377
- 2 Adolf, W and Hecker, E (1975) Z Krebsforsch 84, 325
- 3 Odebiyi, O O (1980) Planta Med 38, 144
- 4 Odebiyi, O O (1982) Planta Med 45, 138

132 W ADOLF et al

5 Kingsbury, J M (1964) Poisonous Plants of the United States and Canada Prentice-Hall New York

- 6 Morton, J F (1981) Atlas of Medicinal Plants of Middle America, Bahamas to Yucatan (Thomas, C C, ed) Springfield, Illinois
- 7 Watt, J M and Breyer-Brandwijk, M G (1962) The Medicinal and Poisonous Plants of Southern and Eastern Africa E &S Livingstone, Edinburgh
- 8 Adam, S E I (1974) Toxicology 2, 67
- 9 Adam, S E I and Magzoub, M (1975) Toxicology 4, 347
- 10 Hecker, E, Lutz, D, Weber, J, Goerttler, K and Morton, J F (1983) Proceedings of the XIIIth International Cancer Congress, 8-15 September 1982, Seattle, Washington Alan

- R Liss, New York (in press)
- 11 Hartwell, J L (1969) Lloydia 32, 153
- 12 Kupchan, S M, Sigel, C W, Matz, J M, Renauld, J A S, Haltiwanger, R C and Bryan, R F (1970) J Am Chem Soc 92, 4476
- 13 Adolf, W and Hecker, E (1977) Isr J Chem 16, 75
- 14 Purushotaman, K K, Chandrasekharan, S, Cameron, A F, Connolly, J D, Labbe, D, Maltz, A and Rycroft, D S (1979) Tetrahedron Letters 979
- 15 Weber, J and Hecker, E (1978) Experientia 34, 679
- 16 Okuda, T., Yoshida, T., Koike, S and Toh, N (1974) Chem Pharm Bull 22, 971
- 17 Gschwendt, M and Hecker, E (1973) Z Krebsforsch 80, 335